

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/396,987	09/16/1999	RADE GVOZDANOVIC	476-1845 8204		
75	590 08/25/2004	EXAMINER			
LEE MANN S	SMITH MCWILLIAMS	HYUN, SOON D			
SWEENEY &	OHLSON				
PO BOX 2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 606902786			2663		
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- 1		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	JCIJ			
Office Action Summary		09/396,98	37	GVOZDANOVIC, F	RADE			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Soon D H	yun	2663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on <u>24 May 2004</u> .						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	,—							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims				,			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	<ul> <li>Claim(s) 1-10 and 14-29 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>Claim(s) 1-6,17-19,24,25,28 and 29 is/are rejected.</li> <li>Claim(s) 7-10,14-16,20-23,26 and 27 is/are objected to.</li> <li>Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	D☐ accepted or b)  In to the drawing(s) to  Exercise correction is required.	ne held in abeyance. See bed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	` '			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s)  ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- PTO		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/396,987 Page 2

Art Unit: 2663

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. The indicated allowability of claims 1-6 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Gvozdanovic (U.S. Patent No. 6,600,720). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-6, 17-19, 24, 25, 28 and 29 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,600,720. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are directed to derive a new congestion state and a new operation state based on available capacity on a communication link by comparing current traffic SCR with an agreed SCR (a SCR requirement), even though the claims of the present application differs from claim 13 of the Patent in that the present application recites parameters which are indicative of the

Application/Control Number: 09/396,987

Art Unit: 2663

traffic flow meeting the SCR requirement, while the claim 13 does not explicitly recite the parameters. Both claim 2 of the present application and claim 13 of the patent recite a running difference between the counted numbered of packets (cells) and an expected number of packets (cells). Therefore, it would have obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to derive parameters which are indicative of the traffic flow meeting the SCR requirement with the running difference to indicate the state of current traffic condition. Claim 13 also does not explicitly recite a specific number for the first time interval as recited in claim 6 of the present application. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use approx. 500 ms as long as no unexpected results can be seen from the use of the number.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 7-16, 20-23, 26, and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Soon-Dong Hyun whose telephone number is (703) 305-4550. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/396,987

Art Unit: 2663

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen, can be reached on (703) 308-5340.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to: 703-872-9306 for formal communications intended for entry.

S. Hyun

08/16/2004

KENMETH VANDERPUYE PRIMARY EXAMINER